

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

BONGYONG CHOI on behalf of himself and
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AHC MEDICAL SERVICES, PLLC, and
ATAUL AKIM CHOWDHURY, M.D.

Defendants,

Index No. 22-cv-1450

COMPLAINT

COLLECTIVE ACTION

Plaintiff, Bongyong Choi (collectively, "plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, by his attorneys, Ryan Kim, complaining of defendants AHC Medical Services, PLLC ("AHCMS") and Ataul Chowdhury, M.D. (collectively, "defendants"), alleges:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This action is brought to recover unpaid minimum wages, and other damages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C § 201, et seq. ("FLSA"), and New York Labor Law ("NYLL"), N. Y. Lab. Law § 650 et seq.

2. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief against defendants' unlawful actions, unpaid minimum wage, liquidated damages, compensatory damages, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the FLSA, the NYLL.

3. Defendants own and operate a medical office at 175-20 Hillside Avenue, 2nd Floor Queens, NY 11432.

4. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff and all others similarly situated at the statutorily minimum wage as required by law.

JURISDICTION

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337 and has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the NYLL pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

VENUE

6. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, as AHC Medical Services, PLLC is incorporated and located in the Eastern District of New York.

Plaintiff Bongyong Choi

7. Bongyong Choi ("Choi") resides in Queens County, New York.

8. Choi was employed as a physical therapist by Defendants from August 20, 2021, through December 4, 2021.

AHCMS

9. Defendant AHC Medical Services, PLLC is a New York Corporation that owns and operates a medical office in Flushing New York.

10. AHC Medical Services, PLLC is an "enterprise engaged in interstate commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.

11. AHC Medical Services, PLLC has employees engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce and handling, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

12. AHC Medical Services, PLLC has an annual gross volume of sales in excess of \$500,000.

Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D.

13. Defendant Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D. ("Chowdhury") is the president and the owner of AHCMS.

14. Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D. is sued individually in his capacity as an owner, officer, and/ or agent of AHCMS.

15. Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D. exercises sufficient control over the company's operations to be considered Plaintiff's employer under the FLSA, New York Labor Law.

16. At all-time material herein, Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D. had the authority to hire and fire employees and established and exercised authority regarding the pay practices at AHCMS.

17. Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D. makes all final decisions about employment practices at AHCMS.

18. The defendant Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D. managed, supervised, established, and administered the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment through AHCMS.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

19. The claims in this Complaint arising out of the FLSA are brought by the Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all similarly situated non-exempt employees (i.e. physical therapists, doctors, and chiropractors) who work or have worked at AHCMS within three years of the date of the filing of this action and who elect to opt-in to this action (the "FLSA Collective").

20. The FLSA Collective consists of approximately forty similarly situated current and former non-exempt employees of AHCMS, who have been victims of defendants' common policy and practices that have violated their rights under the FLSA by, inter alia, willfully denying them minimum wage and other monies.

21. The FLSA Collective consists of employees who, during their employment at AHCMS, worked as non-exempt employees.

22. As part of their regular business practices, defendants have intentionally, willfully, repeatedly, and in bad faith harmed Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective by engaging in a pattern, practice, and/or policy of violating the FLSA, New York Labor Law. This policy and pattern or practice include, inter alia:

- a. failing to pay employees the statutory minimum wage for all hours worked;
- b. failing to pay non-exempt employees one hour's pay at the minimum hourly wage rate for each day during which employees worked more than ten hours;
- c. failing to keep accurate records of hours worked by employees as required by the FLSA and the New York Labor Law.

23. The FLSA Collective would benefit from the issuance of a court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to defendants, are readily identifiable by defendants and are locatable through defendants' records. These similarly situated employees should be notified of and allowed to opt in to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

PLAINTIFF'S FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

24. Choi worked as a physical therapist throughout his time employed by defendants.

25. Working as a physical therapist, Choi fell into the category of "non-exempt" employee pursuant to the FLSA.

26. From August 20, 2021, through December 4, 2021, Choi regularly worked for eight hours for five days per week.

27. During the period, Mr. Choi started his work at 12 p.m. and ended at 8 p.m.

28. During his employment period, Mr. Choi's hourly rate was \$50.00 per hour according to his employment agreement with Defendants.

29. From November 14, 2021, until December 4, 2021, Mr. Choi was not paid at all for all his hours worked during the period.

30. Defendants failed to furnish Choi's wage statements with his hours worked and rates of pay at his every payday.

31. Defendants also failed to furnish Choi with a wage notice at the time of hiring and whenever his wage rates changed.

FIRST CLAIM
(Fair Labor Standards Ad - Unpaid Minimum Wage)

32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

33. Defendants are employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 206(a), and employed plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.

34. Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective are employees within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(e) and 206(a).

35. Defendants were required to pay to plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the applicable federal minimum wage rate.

36. Defendants were not eligible to avail themselves of the federal tipped minimum wage rate under the FLSA because inter alia:

- d. Defendants were required to but failed to inform Plaintiff and the FLSA Collective of the provisions of subsection 203(m) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(m); and
- e. made unlawful deductions from the non-exempt employees' pay, in violation of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(m) and supporting regulations.

37. Defendants failed to pay plaintiff and the FLSA Collective the minimum wages to which they are entitled under the FLSA.

38. Defendants were aware or should have been aware that the practices described in this Complaint were unlawful and have not made a good faith effort to comply with the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the plaintiff and the FLSA Collective.

39. As a result of defendants' willful violations of the FLSA plaintiff and the FLSA Collective suffered damages by being denied minimum wages in accordance with the FLSA in amounts to be determined at trial, and are entitled to recovery of such amounts, liquidated damages, pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and other compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM
(New York Labor Law - Unpaid Minimum Wage)

40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

41. Defendants are employers within the meaning of the NYLL §§ 190, 651(5), 652, and supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations, and employed plaintiff.

42. Defendants failed to pay the plaintiff the minimum wages to which she is entitled under the NYLL.

43. Defendants have willfully violated the NYLL by knowingly and intentionally failing to pay the plaintiff minimum hourly wages.

44. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the NYLL, Plaintiff is entitled to recover his unpaid wages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, liquidated damages, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.

THIRD CLAIM
(New York Labor Law - Wage Theft Prevention Act)

45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

46. Defendants failed to furnish to Plaintiff at the time of hiring, whenever there was a change to an employee's rate of pay, and on or before February 1st of each year of employment through 2022, a wage notice containing the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular payday designated by the employer in accordance with NYLL § 191; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; the telephone number of the employer, and anything otherwise required by law; in violation of the NYLL § 195(1).

47. Defendants failed to furnish Plaintiff with each payment of wages an accurate statement listing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked, and the number of overtime hours worked; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; and net wages; in violation of the NYLL § 195(3).

48. Due to Defendants' violation of NYLL § 195(1), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the defendants liquidated damages of \$50.00 per day that the violation occurred, up to a

maximum of \$5,000, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(l-b).

49. Due to Defendants' violation of the NYLL, § 195(3), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants liquidated damages of \$250.00 per workweek that the violation occurred, up to a maximum of \$5,000, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to the NYLL § 198(1-b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective, respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- a) declaring that defendants have violated the minimum wage provisions of the FLSA and the NYLL;
- b) declaring that defendants' violations of the FLSA and NYLL were willful;
- c) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective damages for unpaid minimum wages;
- d) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, liquidated damages as a result of defendants' failure to furnish accurate wage statements and annual notices pursuant to the NYLL;
- e) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, liquidated damages in an amount equal to the total amount of the wages found to be due, pursuant to the FLSA;
- f) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, the liquidated damages in an amount equal to the total amount of the wages found to be due, pursuant to the NYLL;
- g) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest under the FLSA and the NYLL;
- h) awarding plaintiff and the FLSA Collective, the reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant the FLSA and the NYLL; and

- i) awarding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY TRIAL

A jury trial is demanded on all Counts.

Dated: March 16, 2022

/s/ Ryan Kim
Ryan J. Kim

Ryan J. Kim, Esq.
Ryan Kim Law, P.C.
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490
Fort Lee, NJ 07024
ryan@RyanKimLaw.com

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ENFORCE SHAREHOLDER LIABILITY FOR
SERVICES RENDERED**

TO: Ataul Akim Chowdhury, M.D.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York and Section 609 of the Limited Liability Company Law of New York, you are hereby notified that Plaintiff Bongyong Choi intends to charge you and hold you personally liable, jointly and severally, as one of the ten largest shareholders and/or members of:

AHC Medical Services, PLLC

for all debts, wages, and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants, and/or employees of the said corporations for services performed by them for the said corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice and have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on his behalf.

Dated: March 16, 2022
Fort Lee, NJ

Ryan Kim Law, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Ryan Kim

Ryan Kim
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490
Fort Lee NJ 07024
Tel: (718) 573-1111
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com

**DEMAND BY EMPLOYEES TO INSPECT SHARE RECORDS AND MINUTES
PURSUANT TO SECTION 624 OF THE NEW YORK STATE BUSINESS
CORPORATION LAW**

TO:

AHC Medical Services, PLLC

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Plaintiff BONGYONG CHOI as an employee of the above corporations who intend to demand, pursuant to the provisions of Section 630 of the Business Corporation Law of New York, and Section 609 of the Limited Liability Company Law of New York, payment of debts, wages and/or salaries due and owing to them as laborers, servants and/or employees of the above corporations for services performed by them for the above corporations within the six (6) years preceding the date of this notice from the ten largest shareholders of the above corporations, and who have expressly authorized the undersigned, as their attorney, to make this demand on their behalf.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS the right to examine, in person or by agent or attorney, during usual business hours, the minutes of the proceedings of the shareholders and records of shareholders of the above corporations and to make extracts therefrom on or after five (5) days from receipt of this notice.

Dated: March 16, 2022
Fort Lee, NJ

Ryan Kim Law, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

/s/ Ryan Kim

Ryan Kim
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490
Fort Lee NJ 07024
Tel: (718) 573-1111
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com

DOCUMENT PRESERVATION DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demands that defendant take affirmative steps to preserve all recordings, data, documents, and all other tangible things that relate to plaintiff, the events described herein, any third party associated with any telephone call, campaign, account, sale, or file associated with plaintiff, and any account or number or symbol relating to them. These materials are likely very relevant to the litigation of this claim. If defendant is aware of any third party that has possession, custody, or control of any such materials, plaintiff demands that defendant request that such third party also take steps to preserve the materials. This demand shall not narrow the scope of any independent document preservation duties of the defendant.

/s/ Ryan Kim

Ryan Kim

222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490

Fort Lee NJ 07024

Tel: (718) 573-1111

Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com

NOTICE OF LIEN AND ASSIGNMENT

Please be advised that we claim a lien upon any recovery herein" for ONE THIRD (1/3) or such amount as a court award. All rights relating to attorney's fees have been assigned to counsel.

/s/ Ryan Kim

Ryan Kim
222 Bruce Reynolds Blvd. Suite 490
Fort Lee NJ 07024
Tel: (718) 573-1111
Email: ryan@ryankimlaw.com



Under the FLSA and NYLL, taking adverse actions (firing and demoting, stalking and harassing) against **Plaintiff(s)** in retaliation for having brought this Complaint is ILLEGAL and PUNISHABLE BY LAW.

Consult your attorney

Settlement under the table is prohibited by the law.



Once a wage-and-hour case is filed in the Federal District Court, any settlement must be reviewed by the Court and approved as fair and reasonable by the Judge under *Cheeks v. Pancake House, Inc.*

Consult your attorney.